

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000300030001-9

DCI/IC 74-0395

*Commence
172 b. Minutes*

INTELLIGENCE RESOURCES ADVISORY COMMITTEE
WORKING GROUP

Minutes of
74-6 Meeting

IC Staff Conference Room
Central Intelligence Agency
17 May 1974 at 1400 hours

Chief, Management, Planning and Resource
Review Division, Intelligence Community Staff

[Redacted]

Presiding

25X1

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. Richard Curl, Department of State
Mr. Jack Iams, Central Intelligence Agency
Mr. James Vance, Department of Defense
Mr. Arnold Donahue, Office of Management & Budget

OBSERVERS PRESENT

[Redacted]

25X1

OTHER OBSERVERS

[Redacted]

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000300030001-9

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

1. The purpose of the meeting was for the Working Group to hear the Working Group Chairman's report to the IRAC, which will be given by letter and oral briefing as Agenda Item 2 at the IRAC meeting on Monday, 20 May 1974.

2. The Chairman noted the letter report which had been distributed, discussed the calendar of events as modified by the DCI during the pre-IRAC meeting on 16 May, reviewed the Working Group conclusion on the Resource Package task, and displayed the vu-graphs prepared on the basis of some data manipulation from CIRIS.

3. The meeting adjourned at 1500 hours.

[Redacted Signature]

Executive Secretary

25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Comments
17(2) &
IRAC WG (Minutes)

DCI/IC 74-1505
14 May 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Cross Program Resource Package Sub-Group Meeting

1. The Cross Program Resource Package Sub-group of the IRAC Working Group met at 1000 on 9 May at CIA Headquarters. Those attending were:


Chairman



2. [redacted] opened the meeting with a recap of the Sub-group's activities for benefit of those attending for the first time. [redacted] then distributed copies of his draft outline for CPRP studies. He emphasized that the descriptive portion of the outline is needed and can be done now, but that the evaluation portion is more developmental.

3. A spirited discussion followed centered on how one could integrate requirements data into an MIS using CIRIS as a base, and also on the problem of identifying specific targets in the programming and budget phases of the DOD cycle.

4. The group then considered the proposed Report to the Working Group. After modifying the recommendations in line with [redacted] suggestion to go forward on descriptive surveys and displays, the Sub-group agreed to the draft.



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~